Dear Mr. Berry,

Did you know that without technology we could not have launched the Apollo 11? The software used for the Apollo 11 was programmed on IBM punch cards, strips of stiff paper used to contain digital information. Massachusetts Institute of Technology was a big help to the Apollo team. MIT built and designed the Apollo Guidance Computer. Therefore, the use of technology is needed to accomplish some major goals such as going to the moon.

Based on your technological views, however, I have come to agree with some of your points such as, “It should not replace or disrupt anything good that already exists, and this includes family and community relationships,” and “The new tool should be cheaper than the one it replaces”(Berry, 32). These two are excellent points with which many would agree. To begin with you said that you wanted the new tool to be cheaper than the one it replaces. I agree with your claim one-hundred percent. If it is supposed to be better, why make it harder for people to get what they need? In some places they can barely afford a typewriter. I also agree with your claim about this new product doing work that is better than the one it replaces. Nobody wants to buy something new and find that it is worse than the original one. If it was up to me, prices on things that are suppose to be better would be lower. In business cases you cannot always appeal to your customers, because they will make you charge too low of a price. You should petition for less cost on things we need, because I will stand with that.

In contrast, you also said that a wife could do all these things for you. I believe that you should not treat your wife like that. She is a human, and she should not have to do his work. You also said that it should be repairable as near to home as possible. I disagree with this claim. In some places the stores do not have the right tools to fix this new equipment. Not a whole lot of towns have the funds to get the equipment and a little travel never hurt anyone. You said that the product should be as small or smaller than the product it is replacing and I completely disagree with that claim. Some people cannot see and do not have glasses. They would not need the text on a screen to get gradually smaller. Some others that get older still want to keep with the times. They do not want to have to squint at the screen to read what is on there.

In conclusion, these descriptions describe why I only agree with some of your claims and not all of them. Some of your claims that I agree with are “it should not replace or disrupt anything good that already exists, and this includes family and community relationships,” and “the new tool should be cheaper than the one it replaces”(Berry, 32). One of your claims that I do not agree with is when you said that your wife should do everything for you. She is a human as well, and she should not have to do his work.

Sincerely, Anonymous